REPRODUCED AT THE MALLONAL ARCHIVES

American Opinion Summary

Department of State Parmanent file copy

No. 106

October 26, 1962

RUSSIA AND CUBA

Initial comment indicates agreement that the U.S. cannot accept a magatorium on activities relating to Cuba which would leave the Cuban meapons threat intact (e.g. Balt. Sun, N.Y. Times, Wall St. Journal, Scripps-Howard's Wash. Hous, Wash. Post). As the Philadelphia Inquirer expresses it, "the missile bases MUST be dismortled before we can talk peaceably with Eussia, Cuba or even the U.H."

A few suggest that a moratorium period would be used by the Bussians to strengthen their positions by shealth (e.g. N.7. Herald Tribune, Phile. Inquirer). Past Soviet deception "makes it impossible for this country to accept the Soviet word as a sufficient guaranty that the missile shipments will, in fact, be suspended," the New York Herald Tribune asserts.

Negotiations under reasonable conditions are considered desirable by a number (e.g. N.Y. Herald Tribune, N.Y. Times, Phila. Inquirer, Wash. Fost). Interpreting the President's position to be that negotiations will be undertaken as soon as the miscile threat is removed, the Washington Post concludes: "This is a wholly reasonable position which leaves the door wide open to a peaceful solution in keeping with the security of the Western Hemisphere."

The New York Times says that "sooner or later, negotiations must get under way, not morely on Guba but on the whole world crisis." The Boston Herald suggests that we chould assist Khrushchev "to find a graceful way to retreat."

Movever, a few extress considerable dubiousness about negotiation now (e.g. Hearst's N.Y. Journal-American, Wall St. Journal). The Wall Street Journal sees "little point in negotiating when one side defines it as trying to take everything we've got."

President Kannedy's volicy has united the Mestern Hemisphere, some street (Balt. Sun, Wash. Post). "By marrowing the dispute to the question of shipping nuclear missiles to Cuba, this country has found a unifying denominator," and avoided splitting Latin America, the Post says. William H. Chamberlin suggests that the decisiveness of U.S. action brought the CAS support.

The sold war is entering a potentially crucial stage, Roscoe Drummond suggests. "Either the Soviet Union or the United States will have to back away. In either event the consequence will mark a turning-point in the cold war," he says

Public Opinion Studies Staff Bureau of Public Affairs
OFFICIAL USE ONLY